


**AUTHOR QUERY FORM**





	<p><b>Journal:</b> AMC</p> <p><b>Article Number:</b> 20631</p>	<p><b>Please e-mail or fax your responses and any corrections to:</b></p> <p><b>E-mail:</b> <a href="mailto:corrections.esch@elsevier.sps.co.in">corrections.esch@elsevier.sps.co.in</a></p> <p><b>Fax:</b> +31 2048 52799</p>
---	--	--

Dear Author,

Please check your proof carefully and mark all corrections at the appropriate place in the proof (e.g., by using on-screen annotation in the PDF file) or compile them in a separate list. Note: if you opt to annotate the file with software other than Adobe Reader then please also highlight the appropriate place in the PDF file. To ensure fast publication of your paper please return your corrections within 48 hours.

For correction or revision of any artwork, please consult <http://www.elsevier.com/artworkinstructions>.

Any queries or remarks that have arisen during the processing of your manuscript are listed below and highlighted by flags in the proof. Click on the 'Q' link to go to the location in the proof.

<b>Location in article</b>	<b>Query / Remark: <a href="#">click on the Q link to go</a> Please insert your reply or correction at the corresponding line in the proof</b>
<p><u>Q1</u> </p>	<p>Your article is registered as a regular item and is being processed for inclusion in a regular issue of the journal. If this is NOT correct and your article belongs to a Special Issue/Collection please contact <a href="mailto:p.muthukumar@elsevier.com">p.muthukumar@elsevier.com</a> immediately prior to returning your corrections.</p>
<p><u>Q2</u> </p>	<p>Please confirm that given name(s) and surname(s) have been identified correctly.</p>
<p><u>Q3</u> </p>	<p>Please check whether the designated corresponding author is correct, and amend if necessary.</p>
<p><u>Q</u> </p>	<p>Please note that “Corollary 4.5” is cited in the text but not provided.</p>
	<div data-bbox="400 1789 963 1892" style="border: 1px solid black; padding: 5px; margin-top: 20px;"> <p style="color: red;">Please check this box if you have no corrections to make to the PDF file</p> <input data-bbox="851 1805 924 1872" type="checkbox"/> </div>

Thank you for your assistance.



ELSEVIER

Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

## Applied Mathematics and Computation

journal homepage: [www.elsevier.com/locate/amc](http://www.elsevier.com/locate/amc)

## 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30

### 3 01 New aspects of Beurling–Lax shift invariant subspaces

6 02 Lihui Tan<sup>a,\*</sup>, Tao Qian<sup>b,2</sup>, Qijuhui Chen<sup>c</sup>

7 <sup>a</sup> School of Applied Mathematics, Guangdong University of Technology, Guangzhou 510006, PR China

8 <sup>b</sup> Faculty of Science and Technology, University of Macau, Taipa, Macau, China

9 <sup>c</sup> Cisco School of Informatics, Guangdong University of Foreign Studies, Guangzhou 510006, PR China

## ARTICLE INFO

## Keywords:

Bedrosian identity  
Backward shift invariant subspace  
Forward shift invariant subspace  
Band preserving problem

## ABSTRACT

In terms of forward and backward shift invariant subspaces, we characterize functions in Hardy spaces, or, analytic signals in the terminology of signal analysis, through multiplications between analytic and conjugate analytic signals. As applications, we give some necessary and sufficient conditions for solutions of the Bedrosian equation  $H(fg) = f(Hg)$  when  $f$  or  $g$  is a bandlimited signal. We also solve the band preserving problem by means of the shift invariant subspace method, which establishes some necessary and sufficient conditions on the functions  $f$  that make  $fg$  have bandwidth within that of the function  $g$ .

© 2015 Published by Elsevier Inc.

## 1. Introduction

There are two mathematical analysis problems that have long been interested in physical practice and signal processing. The first is: **under** what conditions on  $f$  and  $g$  does the equality  $H(fg) = fHg$  hold, where  $H$  is the Hilbert transformation defined by

$$H(g)(x) := \frac{1}{\pi} \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \int_{|t-x|>\varepsilon} \frac{g(t)}{x-t} dt. \quad (1.1)$$

The second is phrased as a band preserving problem: **assume** that  $g \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$  is bandlimited, and has its band  $[A, B]$ , viz.,  $\text{supp } \hat{g} \subseteq [A, B]$ . Then, under what conditions on  $f$  does the relation  $\text{supp } \widehat{fg} \subseteq [A, B]$  hold? Here the notation  $\hat{g}$  stands for the Fourier transform of  $g$ , defined by

$$\hat{g}(\omega) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} g(x)e^{-i\omega x} dx, \quad (1.2)$$

and  $\text{supp } \hat{g}$  is the support of  $\hat{g}$ . The first problem amounts to generalize the classical results of Bedrosian given in [1]: For  $f, g \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$ , if  $\text{supp } f \subseteq [-A, A]$  and  $\text{supp } \hat{g} \subseteq \mathbb{R} \setminus [-A, A]$  for a positive number  $A$ ; or, alternatively, if  $\text{supp } \hat{f} \subseteq [0, \infty)$  and  $\text{supp } \hat{g} \subseteq [0, \infty)$ , then  $H(fg) = fHg$ . The recent interest on the Bedrosian equation was motivated by the study of mono-components that, by the definition, are analytic signals with non-negative phase derivatives, the latter being defined as instantaneous frequencies [2–4]. Some interesting and useful results for the Bedrosian equation are established in [5–11]. About the second problem, if  $g \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$  has its band  $[A, B]$ , by the **Paley–Wiener** theorem, it is known that  $fg \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$  has the same band as that of  $g$  if and only if  $f$  is a quotient of two entire functions of the exponential type. A number of authors devoted to

\* Corresponding author.

E-mail addresses: [lihuitan@gmail.com](mailto:lihuitan@gmail.com) (L. Tan), [fsttq@umac.mo](mailto:fsttq@umac.mo) (T. Qian), [chenqijuhui@hotmail.com](mailto:chenqijuhui@hotmail.com) (Q. Chen).<sup>1</sup> L. Tan is supported by [NSFC \(11101094\)](http://www.nsf.gov/).<sup>2</sup> T. Qian is supported by Research Grant of University of Macau MYRG116(Y1-L3)-FST13-QT, Macao Science and Technology Fund FDCT/098/2012/A3.

such construction of bandlimited signals (the band preserving problem) and then apply the results to the phase retrieval problem by the methodology in representing an entire function by Hardamard's formula in an infinite product [12–14]. However, almost all the existing constructive results of the band preserving problem are focused on  $L^2(\mathbb{R})$  functions. Moreover, we **do not** know whether  $f$  can be totally described by the zero information of the Laplace transform of  $g$ .

In the paper, we will solve them by the method of shift invariant subspaces. An interesting thing will be found that the solutions of both problems are related to the conditions on analytic signals  $f$  and on conjugate analytic signals  $g$  such that their products  $fg$  are again analytic signals or conjugate analytic signals. In Section 2, we will characterize those conditions on  $f$  and  $g$  in terms of the forward and backward shift invariant subspaces. In Section 3, we will first make some summaries and self-appraisal to the existing results for the Bedrosian identity  $H(fg) = fHg$ . As applications, we will give a new characterization by using forward and backward shift invariant subspaces for the solutions of the Bedrosian equation  $H(fg) = fHg$  when  $f$  or  $g$  is a bandlimited signal. Furthermore, we will establish necessary and sufficient conditions in terms of the same invariant subspaces on functions  $f$  that make the band of  $fg$  to be within that of  $g$ . It is shown that such functions  $f$  form the linear space spanned by rational functions whose zeros are those of the Laplace transform of  $g$ . The backward shift and forward shift approach to the problems is a new idea that has advantage over the Hardamard's formula method for its explicitness and computability.

## 2. Analytic signals as products of analytic and conjugate analytic signals

It is well-known that the Hilbert transform  $Hg$  given in (1.1) is well-defined for  $g \in L^p(\mathbb{R}), 1 \leq p < \infty$  and  $Hg \in L^p(\mathbb{R})$  for  $g \in L^p(\mathbb{R}), 1 < p < \infty$ . But for  $g \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}), Hg$  given in (1.1) may not exist. In order to also discuss Bedrosian identity  $H(fg) = fHg$  for  $g \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ , an immediate problem we encounter is to find an appropriate definition of the Hilbert transform on  $L^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ . Without loss of generality, for  $g \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ , the Hilbert transformation is modified as [15]

$$(\tilde{H}g)(x) = \frac{x+i}{\pi} \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \int_{|x-t|>\epsilon} \frac{g(t)}{(x-t)(t+i)} dt. \tag{2.3}$$

If  $g \in L^p(\mathbb{R}), 1 \leq p < \infty$ , the modified Hilbert transform  $\tilde{H}g$  given in (2.3) is essentially the same as the classical Hilbert transform given in (1.1) as  $\tilde{H}g = Hg + A_g$ , where  $A_g$  is a constant of the form

$$A_g = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{g(t)}{t+i} dt.$$

The Fourier transform given in (1.2) is well-defined for functions in  $L^p(\mathbb{R})$  for  $1 \leq p \leq 2$ . But for  $p > 2$ , it can be shown that there are functions in  $L^p(\mathbb{R})$  such that the Fourier transform given in (1.2) is not a well-defined function [16]. In order to generalize the study of band preserving problem from  $L^2(\mathbb{R})$  spaces to  $L^p(\mathbb{R})$  spaces,  $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ , the tempered distribution should be introduced. The tempered distribution as Fourier transformation is defined through

$$\langle \hat{T}, \varphi \rangle = \langle T, \hat{\varphi} \rangle, \quad \varphi \in \mathcal{S} \text{ the Schwartz class.} \tag{2.4}$$

The Fourier transform given in the distributional sense coincides with the traditional definition of Fourier transformation given in (1.2) for  $1 \leq p \leq 2$ . The support of a distribution  $T$  is defined as the complement of the largest open set on which  $T$  vanishes, where  $T$  is said to vanish on  $U$  if  $\langle T, \phi \rangle = 0$  for any test function  $\phi$  whose support is contained in  $U$ .

Let  $L_r^p(\mathbb{R}) := \{f \in L^p(\mathbb{R}) | Tf \in L^p(\mathbb{R})\}$  for  $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ , where  $T$  is the Hilbert transform interpreted as

$$Tf = \begin{cases} Hf, & 1 \leq p < \infty; \\ \tilde{H}f, & p = \infty. \end{cases} \tag{2.5}$$

Obviously, when  $1 < p < \infty$ , the space  $L_r^p(\mathbb{R})$  coincides with  $L^p(\mathbb{R})$ . For  $p = 1$  and  $p = \infty$ , the spaces  $L_r^p(\mathbb{R})$  are respectively proper subspaces of  $L^p(\mathbb{R})$ . For any function  $f(x) \in L_r^p(\mathbb{R})$ , we have the Hardy space decomposition

$$f(x) = \frac{1}{2} [f_+(x) + f_-(x)] = \frac{1}{2} [(f + iTf) + (f - iTf)]. \tag{2.6}$$

The signals  $f_+ := f + iTf$  and  $f_- := f - iTf$  are respectively called the *analytic signal* and the *conjugate analytic signal* associated with  $f$ . Let

$$H^p(\mathbb{R}) := \{f : f = u + iT(u) \text{ for certain function } u \in L_r^p(\mathbb{R})\}$$

be the set of all analytic signals, and

$$\overline{H^p(\mathbb{R})} := \{f : f = u - iH(u) \text{ for certain function } u \in L_r^p(\mathbb{R})\}$$

the set of all conjugate analytic signals. It is shown that the space  $H^p(\mathbb{R})$  is identical to the Banach subspace of  $L^p(\mathbb{R})$  of the functions of non-negative spectrum [17], that is,  $H^p(\mathbb{R}) = FH^p(\mathbb{R}^+) := \{f \in L^p(\mathbb{R}) | \text{supp } \hat{f} \subseteq [0, \infty)\}$ , where  $\mathbb{R}^+ := [0, \infty)$  and the support of  $\hat{f}$  is defined in the distributional sense. Alternately, the equivalent operation of (2.6) in the frequency domain is

$$\widehat{f}(\omega) = u(\omega)\widehat{f}(\omega) + u(-\omega)\widehat{f}(\omega),$$

where  $u(\omega)$  is the step function given by

$$u(\omega) = \begin{cases} 1, & \omega \geq 0; \\ 0, & \omega < 0. \end{cases}$$

Moreover, there is a one-to-one correspondence between analytic signals in  $H^p(\mathbb{R})$  and functions in the Hardy space  $H^p(\mathbb{C}^+)$  [18], where  $H^p(\mathbb{C}^+)$  is the class of all holomorphic functions  $F(z)$  on the upper half plane  $\mathbb{C}^+ := \{z|z = x + iy, y > 0\}$  satisfying

$$\|F\|_{H^p(\mathbb{C}^+)} := \begin{cases} \sup_{y>0} \left\{ \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} |F(x + iy)|^p dx \right\}^{1/p} < \infty, & \text{for } 1 \leq p < \infty; \\ \sup_{z \in \mathbb{C}^+} |F(z)| < \infty, & \text{for } p = \infty. \end{cases}$$

For functions  $f \in H^p(\mathbb{R})$ , we have [17]:

**Lemma 2.1.** *Let  $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ . Then  $f \in H^p(\mathbb{R})$  if and only if one of the following conditions holds:*

- (1)  $T(f) = -if$ .
- (2)  $f \in FH^p(\mathbb{R}^+)$ .
- (3)  $f$  is the non-tangential boundary value of a function  $F(z) \in H^p(\mathbb{C}^+)$ , where, for  $z \in \mathbb{C}^+$ ,  $F(z)$  can be represented by

$$F(z) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{f(t) dt}{t-z}, & 1 \leq p < \infty; \\ \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{z+i}{(t-z)(t+i)} f(t) dt, & p = \infty. \end{cases}$$

In what follows, let  $1 \leq p, q, r \leq \infty$  such that  $r^{-1} = p^{-1} + q^{-1}$ . By the Hölder inequality, it is easy to verify that the product of two analytic signals is an analytic signal and the product of two conjugate analytic signals is a conjugate analytic signal, as stated below.

**Lemma 2.2.** *If  $f \in H^p(\mathbb{R})$  and  $g \in H^q(\mathbb{R})$  are two nonzero analytic signals, then  $fg \in H^r(\mathbb{R})$ . If  $f \in \overline{H^p(\mathbb{R})}$  and  $g \in \overline{H^q(\mathbb{R})}$  are nonzero conjugate analytic signals, then  $fg \in H^r(\mathbb{R})$ .*

Naturally, we would encounter the question in what circumstance the product of an analytic signal and a conjugate analytic signal is still analytic or conjugate analytic. The study of this problem is on its own interest, as well as of significant applications. Its solutions are related to characterizations of the solutions of the Bedrosian equation and of the band preserving problem. It is related to the well-known Nevanlinna decomposition theorem for  $F \in H^p(\mathbb{C}^+)$  [19]. Any function  $F(z) \in H^p(\mathbb{C}^+)$  can be decomposed as  $F(z) = O_F(z)I_F(z)$ ,  $z \in \mathbb{C}^+$ , where  $O_F(z)$ , the outer factor of  $F$ , is of the form

$$O_F(z) = \exp \left\{ \frac{i}{\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{1 + tz}{z - t} \frac{\ln |F(t)|}{1 + t^2} dt \right\}, \tag{2.7}$$

and  $I_F(z)$  is the inner factor of  $F$ , that is,  $I_F(z) \in H^\infty(\mathbb{C}^+)$  and  $|I_F(x)| = 1$  for almost all  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ . Factorizing  $I_F(z)$  canonically, we get  $I_F(z) = e^{i(ax+b)}B_F(z)S_F(z)$  for  $z \in \mathbb{C}^+$ , where  $a$  is a non-negative constant,  $b$  is a real constant,  $B_F(z)$  is a Blaschke product and  $S_F(z)$  is a singular inner function. Let  $E$  be the set of all different zeros of  $F(z)$  on the upper half plane and  $m(\lambda)$  the multiplicity of  $\lambda$  at  $F(\lambda) = 0$ . Then the Blaschke product  $B_F$  is of the form

$$B_F(z) = B_{E,m}(z) = \prod_{\lambda \in E} \left( \frac{|\lambda^2 + 1|}{\lambda^2 + 1} \cdot \frac{z - \lambda}{z - \bar{\lambda}} \right)^{m(\lambda)}, \tag{2.8}$$

where the pair  $(E, m)$  satisfies the Blaschke condition

$$\sum_{\lambda \in E} \frac{m(\lambda) \text{Im}(\lambda)}{1 + |\lambda|^2} < \infty.$$

In (2.8) we are with the convention that  $|\lambda^2 + 1|/(\lambda^2 + 1)$  takes value 1 when  $\lambda = i$ . The singular inner function  $S_F(z)$  is of the form

$$S_F(z) := \exp \left\{ \frac{i}{\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{1 + zt}{t - z} d\mu(t) \right\},$$

where  $\mu(t)$  is a real, bounded, increasing function with derivative  $\mu'(t) = 0$  almost everywhere on  $\mathbb{R}$ . A fundamental property of Hardy space functions is that they have non-tangential boundary limits almost everywhere. Hence, for almost all  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ , the corresponding decomposition of nonzero function  $f \in H^p(\mathbb{R})$  is

$$f(x) = \lim_{y \rightarrow 0^+} F(x + iy) = O_f(x)I_f(x) = e^{i(ax+b)}O_f(x)B_f(x)S_f(x). \tag{2.9}$$

Note that, apart from the self-explanatory notation  $F(x)$  as non-tangential boundary value of  $F(z)$ , we also denote the non-tangential boundary value function of  $F \in H^p(\mathbb{C}^+)$  by  $\partial F$ , and, for a function  $f \in H^p(\mathbb{R})$ , denote the corresponding function in  $H^p(\mathbb{C}^+)$  by  $\partial^{-1}f$ .

**Theorem 2.3.** Let  $f \in \overline{H^p(\mathbb{R})}$  and  $g \in H^q(\mathbb{R})$  be non-zero functions. Then the following statements are equivalent:

- (1)  $fg \in H^r(\mathbb{R})$ .
- (2)  $\bar{f} \in H^p(\mathbb{R}) \cap I_g \overline{H^p(\mathbb{R})}$ , where  $I_g$  is the inner function of  $g$ .
- (3)  $\frac{O_{\bar{f}}}{O_f} I_{\bar{f}} = \frac{\varphi_1}{\varphi_2}$  and  $g \in \varphi_1 H^q(\mathbb{R})$ , where  $\varphi_1 = B_1 S_1$  and  $\varphi_2 = B_2 S_2$  are two co-prime inner functions, that is,  $B_1(z)$  and  $B_2(z)$  have no common zeros, the singular measures  $\mu_1$  and  $\mu_2$  of  $S_1$  and  $S_2$  have no common minorant.

**Proof.** We first assume (1) holds, that is, we have nonzero functions  $f \in \overline{H^p(\mathbb{R})}$ ,  $g \in H^q(\mathbb{R})$  and  $fg \in H^r(\mathbb{R})$ . Then  $\partial^{-1}(fg)(z) \in H^r(\mathbb{C}^+)$  and the outer function of  $\partial^{-1}(fg)(z)$  is represented by

$$O_{\partial^{-1}(fg)}(z) = \exp \left\{ \frac{i}{\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{1+zt}{(z-t)(1+t^2)} \ln |f(t)g(t)| dt \right\}.$$

By  $\ln |fg| = \ln |g| + \ln |\bar{f}|$ , we have  $O_{\partial^{-1}(fg)} = O_{\partial^{-1}g} O_{\partial^{-1}\bar{f}}$ . Hence,  $O_{(fg)} = O_g O_{\bar{f}}$ . By the factorization theorem, we therefore obtain

$$O_g I_g \overline{O_{\bar{f}} I_{\bar{f}}} = fg = O_{(fg)} I_{(fg)} = O_g O_{\bar{f}} I_{fg} = g \overline{I_g} O_{\bar{f}} I_{fg}. \tag{2.10}$$

From equation (2.10) and the assumptions  $g = \theta$  and  $\bar{f} = \theta$ , we have

$$f = \overline{I_g} O_{\bar{f}} I_{fg} \in \overline{I_g} H^p(\mathbb{R}).$$

Hence,  $\bar{f} \in H^p(\mathbb{R}) \cap I_g \overline{H^p(\mathbb{R})}$ , proving that (1) implies (2). The relation (2.10) also shows

$$\frac{O_{\bar{f}}}{O_f} I_{\bar{f}} = \frac{I_g}{I_{fg}}, \tag{2.11}$$

that further implies

$$I_g \frac{\overline{O_{\bar{f}}}}{O_{\bar{f}}} \bar{f} = I_{fg} \in H^\infty(\mathbb{R}). \tag{2.12}$$

The relation (2.11) shows that  $\frac{O_{\bar{f}}}{O_f} I_{\bar{f}}$  is the quotient of two inner functions  $I_{\bar{f}}$  and  $I_{fg}$ . Eliminating the greatest common divisor of the two inner functions  $I_{\bar{f}}$  and  $I_{fg}$ , there exist two co-prime inner functions  $\varphi_1 = B_1 S_1$  and  $\varphi_2 = B_2 S_2$  such that

$$\frac{O_{\bar{f}}}{O_f} I_{\bar{f}} = \frac{\varphi_1}{\varphi_2}. \tag{2.13}$$

From the fact that

$$I_g \frac{\varphi_2}{\varphi_1} = I_g \frac{\overline{O_{\bar{f}}}}{O_{\bar{f}}} \bar{f} \in H^\infty(\mathbb{R}),$$

we obtain that  $I_g$  must have a non-trivial divisor  $\varphi_1$ . Hence, we have  $g = O_g I_g \in \varphi_1 H^q(\mathbb{R})$  and  $\frac{O_{\bar{f}}}{O_f} I_{\bar{f}} = \frac{\varphi_1}{\varphi_2}$ , where  $\varphi_1$  and  $\varphi_2$  are two co-prime inner functions. This shows that (1) implies (3).

Now we show that (2) implies (1). If  $\bar{f} \in H^p(\mathbb{R}) \cap I_g \overline{H^p(\mathbb{R})}$ , then  $\bar{f} \in H^p(\mathbb{R})$  and  $f = \overline{I_g} h$  for some  $h \in H^p(\mathbb{R})$ . Since  $g \in H^q(\mathbb{R})$ , we have  $g = O_g I_g \in H^q(\mathbb{R})$  and  $O_g \in H^q(\mathbb{R})$ . Thus  $fg = \overline{I_g} h O_g I_g = h O_g$ . By the Hölder inequality, it follows that  $fg \in H^r(\mathbb{R})$ .

Now we show that (3) implies (1). If  $g \in \varphi_1 H^q(\mathbb{R})$  and  $\frac{O_{\bar{f}}}{O_f} I_{\bar{f}} = \frac{\varphi_1}{\varphi_2}$ , then there exists  $h \in H^q(\mathbb{R})$  such that  $g = \varphi_1 h \in H^q(\mathbb{R})$  and  $\varphi_1 = \varphi_2 \frac{O_{\bar{f}}}{O_f} I_{\bar{f}}$ . Since  $\bar{f} \in H^p(\mathbb{R})$ , we have  $f = \bar{f} = \overline{O_{\bar{f}} I_{\bar{f}}}$ . Therefore

$$fg = \varphi_1 h f = h \varphi_2 \frac{O_{\bar{f}}}{O_f} I_{\bar{f}} \overline{O_{\bar{f}} I_{\bar{f}}} = h \varphi_2 O_{\bar{f}}.$$

By the Hölder inequality, we conclude that  $fg \in H^r(\mathbb{R})$ . The proof is complete.  $\square$

The equivalence relation between (1) and (2) was first proved in [11]. Here we cite the proof again for the self-containing purpose, as well as for the convenience of proving their equivalence with (3). Symmetrically, or as a corollary of Theorem 2.3, we have an analogous result for the conjugate analytic case.

**Corollary 2.4.** Let  $f \in \overline{H^p(\mathbb{R})}$  and  $g \in H^q(\mathbb{R})$  be non-zero functions. Then the following statements are equivalent:

- (1)  $fg \in \overline{H^r(\mathbb{R})}$ .
- (2)  $g \in H^q(\mathbb{R}) \cap I_f \overline{H^q(\mathbb{R})}$ , where  $I_f$  is the inner function of  $\bar{f}$ .
- (3)  $\frac{O_g}{O_f} I_g = \frac{\varphi_1}{\varphi_2}$  and  $\bar{f} \in \varphi_1 H^p(\mathbb{R})$ , where  $\varphi_1 = B_1 S_1$  and  $\varphi_2 = B_2 S_2$  are two co-prime inner functions.

For a given nonzero function  $g \in H^q(\mathbb{R})$ , we associate it with the spaces

$$X_g^p := \{\bar{f} : \bar{f} \in H^p(\mathbb{R}) \text{ and } fg \in \overline{H^r(\mathbb{R})}\}, \tag{2.14}$$

and

$$Y_g^p := \{\bar{f} : \bar{f} \in H^p(\mathbb{R}) \text{ and } fg \in H^r(\mathbb{R})\}. \tag{2.15}$$

We note that the induced spaces by  $g$ ,  $X_g^p$  and  $Y_g^p$ , consist of Hardy space functions and hence are subspaces of  $H^p(\mathbb{R})$ . By Corollary 2.4, we learn that if  $\frac{O_g}{O_f} I_g$  is not a quotient of two co-prime inner functions (for example, if  $O_g(z)$  is an outer function with essential singularities in the lower half plane), then  $X_g^p$  is an empty set. If  $\frac{O_g}{O_f} I_g$  is the quotient of two co-prime inner functions  $\varphi_1$  and  $\varphi_2$ , then  $X_g^p$  is  $\varphi_1 H^p(\mathbb{R})$ . The space  $\varphi_1 H^p(\mathbb{R})$ , usually referred as a forward shift invariant subspace, is invariant under the semigroup  $\{S_a : a > 0\}$  of forward shift operators

$$(S_a h)(t) = e^{iat} h(t), \quad h \in H^p(\mathbb{R}).$$

By Theorem 2.3, the space  $Y_g^p$  is  $H^p(\mathbb{R}) \cap I_g \overline{H^p(\mathbb{R})}$ , where  $I_g$  is the inner function of  $g$ . When  $g \in H^q(\mathbb{R})$  is an outer function, that is,  $I_g = e^{ib}$ ,  $b \in \mathbb{R}$  being trivial, the space  $Y_g^p$  is an empty set for  $1 \leq p < \infty$ . When  $g \in H^q(\mathbb{R})$  is not an outer function, that is,  $I_g$  is not a constant, then the space  $Y_g^p$  is not empty, and is an invariant subspace of  $H^p(\mathbb{R})$  under the semigroup  $\{S_a^* : a > 0\}$  of backward shift operators

$$(S_a^* h)(t) = e^{-iat} h(t), \quad h \in H^p(\mathbb{R}).$$

For  $p^{-1} + p'^{-1} = 1$ , the backward shift operator  $S_a^*$  is the adjoint of the forward shift operator  $S_a$  under the conjugate pairing between  $H^p(\mathbb{R})$  and  $H^{p'}(\mathbb{R})$ ,

$$\langle S_a f, g \rangle = \langle f, S_a^* g \rangle, \quad f \in H^p(\mathbb{R}), \quad g \in H^{p'}(\mathbb{R}).$$

The study of forward and backward shift invariant subspaces has a long history, some useful and interesting results can be found in [20–24]. Both forward shift invariant and backward shift invariant subspaces are expressible in terms of inner functions. The most classical characterization of forward shift invariant subspaces is referred to Beurling–Lax Theorem [20]. When the related inner function is just a Blaschke product, the corresponding backward shift invariant subspace can be explicitly characterized (also see [22]).

**Lemma 2.5.** Let  $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ , and  $B_g$  be the Blaschke product given in (2.8). Then  $H^p(\mathbb{R}) \cap B_g \overline{H^p(\mathbb{R})}$  is the closure in  $L^p(\mathbb{R})$  of the sets of all rational functions of which each has its poles as a subset of those of  $B_g$  with multiplicities not exceeding those of the corresponding poles of  $B_g$ .

By imposing the restriction that  $\hat{g}$  be compactly supported, that is,  $g$  belongs to bandlimited Hardy space  $FH^q[A, B]$ ,

$$FH^q[A, B] := \{g \in L^q(\mathbb{R}) : \text{supp } \hat{g} \subseteq [A, B]\},$$

we will first give a characterization for the forward shift invariant subspace  $X_g^p$  described in Corollary 2.4 induced by  $g \in FH^q[A, B]$  for  $0 \leq A < B$ .

**Corollary 2.6.** Let  $g \in FH^q[A, B]$  be a nonzero function. If  $B \in \text{supp } \hat{g}$  and  $0 \leq A < B$ . Then the forward invariant subspace  $X_g^p$  is  $e^{iBx} H^p(\mathbb{R})$ .

**Proof.** Let  $h(x) := e^{iBx} \overline{g(x)}$ . Then  $\hat{h}(\omega) = \overline{\hat{g}(B - \omega)}$ . Since  $g \in FH^q[A, B]$  is a nonzero function with  $B \in \text{supp } \hat{g}$ , we have  $h \in FH^q[0, B - A] \subset H^q(\mathbb{R})$  with  $0 \in \text{supp } \hat{h}$ . By the factorization theorem, we have  $O_h = O_g$  and

$$\frac{O_g(x)}{O_g(x)} I_g(x) = \frac{O_g(x)}{g(x)} = \frac{e^{iBx} O_g(x)}{e^{iBx} g(x)} = \frac{e^{iBx} O_g(x)}{O_h(x) I_h(x)} = \frac{e^{iBx}}{I_h}. \tag{2.16}$$

Since  $0 \in \text{supp } \hat{h}$ , we obtain that  $e^{iBx}$  and  $I_h$  are two co-prime inner functions. Hence,  $\varphi_1(x) = e^{iBx}$  and  $X_g^p = e^{iBx} H^p(\mathbb{R})$ .  $\square$

Let  $g \in FH^q[A, B]$  be a nonzero function, where  $0 \leq A < B$ . By the Paley–Wiener–Schwarz theorem [25], we learn that  $g \in FH^q[A, B]$  if and only if  $g$  is the non-tangential boundary limit of an entire function  $G(z)$  of exponential type (not exceeding  $B$ ) that belongs to  $H^q(\mathbb{C}^+)$ , with the representation

$$G(z) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} g(x) \frac{e^{iB(z-x)} - e^{iA(z-x)}}{z-x} dx. \tag{2.17}$$

The following lemma is to show that the singular inner factor of  $g \in \text{FH}^q[A, B]$  is a constant in such case.

**Lemma 2.7.** *Let  $g(x) \in \text{FH}^q[A, B]$  be a nonzero function, where  $0 \leq A < B$ . Then the inner function of  $g$  is  $e^{i(ax+b)}B_g(x)$ , where  $0 \leq a \leq B$ ,  $b$  is a real constant,  $B_g(x)$  is the boundary Blaschke product formed by the zeros of  $G(z) := (\partial^{-1}g)(z)$  defined by (2.17) in the upper half plane and  $|B_g(x)| = 1$  on the whole  $\mathbb{R}$ .*

**Proof.** The following proof is an adaptation of [19, Chapter II, Theorem 6.3]. Since the nonzero function  $g \in \text{FH}^q[A, B] \subset \text{H}^p(\mathbb{R})$  for  $0 \leq A < B$ ,  $G(z) := (\partial^{-1}g) \in \text{H}^q(\mathbb{C}^+)$  is an entire function of exponential type and  $G(z) = e^{i(az+b)}O_G(z)B_G(z)S_G(z)$  for  $z \in \mathbb{C}^+$ , where  $0 \leq a \leq B$ ,  $b$  is a real constant,  $O_G(z)$  is the outer function of  $G(z)$ ,  $B_G(z)$  is the Blaschke product of  $G(z)$  and  $S_G(z)$  is the singular inner function of  $G(z)$ . In what follows, we will show that  $B_G(z)$  is holomorphic across  $\mathbb{R}$  and  $S_G(z) \equiv 1$ .

If the zeros of  $B_G(z)$  had an accumulation point  $z_0$  on  $\mathbb{R}$ , then the zeros of  $G(z)$  would have an accumulation point  $z_0$  on  $\mathbb{R}$  such that  $G(z_0) = 0$ . This is impossible since  $G(z)$  is non-trivial and holomorphic across  $\mathbb{R}$ . Consequently,  $B_G(z)$  is holomorphic across  $\mathbb{R}$  and  $|B_G(x)| = 1$  on  $\mathbb{R}$ .

Below, we will show that  $S_G(z) \equiv 1$ . Let  $\mu$  be the measure determining  $S_G$ . If  $\mu$  had a point charge at  $x$ , then every derivative  $G^{(n)}(z)$  of  $G(z)$  satisfies  $\lim_{y \rightarrow 0^+} G^{(n)}(x + iy) = 0$ . This is impossible since  $G(z)$  is analytic across  $\mathbb{R}$  and  $G(z) \not\equiv 0$ . Thus  $\mu(\{x\}) = 0$  for all  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ . Next suppose that  $\mu(K) > 0$  for some compact subset  $K$  of  $\mathbb{R}$ . Since  $\mu$  is singular, for  $\mu$ -almost all  $x$ , we have  $\lim_{y \rightarrow 0^+} |S_G(x + iy)| = 0$ , and hence  $\lim_{y \rightarrow 0^+} |G(x + iy)| = 0$  (Theorem 6.2, [19]). Therefore, the holomorphic function  $G(z)$  has zero values on infinitely many points of  $K$ . The compactness of  $K$  implies that  $G$  has to be a zero function, being contradictory with the assumption that  $G(z)$  is nonzero. Therefore  $\mu(K) = 0$ . Hence,  $\text{supp} \mu = \emptyset$  and  $S_G(z) \equiv 1$ . This completes the proof.  $\square$

Denote by  $E$  the set of all different zeros of  $G(z) := (\partial^{-1}g)(z)$  in the upper half plane and denote by  $m(\lambda)$  the multiplicity of the zero  $\lambda$  of  $G(z)$ . With Lemma 2.5, we have a characterization for the backward shift invariant subspace  $Y_g^p$  when  $g$  is a band-limited signal.

**Corollary 2.8.** *Suppose that  $g(x)$  is a nonzero function in  $\text{FH}^q[A, B]$ ,  $0 \leq A < B$ . Then the backward shift invariant subspace  $Y_g^p$  induced by  $g$  as given in (2.15) is identical with*

$$\text{H}^p(\mathbb{R}) \cap e^{iax}B_g\overline{\text{H}^p(\mathbb{R})} = \text{FH}^p[0, a] \oplus e^{iax}\mathcal{R}_{E,m},$$

where  $0 \leq a \leq B$  and  $B_g$  is the Blaschke product formed by the points in  $E$  and the corresponding multiplicity function  $m(\lambda)$ , and  $\mathcal{R}_{E,m}$  is the closed subspace

$$\mathcal{R}_{E,m} = \overline{\text{span}}^p \left\{ \frac{1}{(x-\lambda)^j}, \lambda \in E, j = 1, \dots, m(\lambda) \right\}$$

of the  $L^p(\mathbb{R})$  space.

**Proof.** By Lemma 2.7, we get that  $Y_g^p = \text{H}^p(\mathbb{R}) \cap e^{iax}B_g\overline{\text{H}^p(\mathbb{R})}$ , where  $0 \leq a \leq B$ ,  $B_g$  is the Blaschke product formed by the zeros together with their multiplicities of  $G(z) := (\partial^{-1}g)(z)$  in the upper half plane.

Suppose that  $f(x) \in \text{H}^p(\mathbb{R}) \cap e^{iax}B_g\overline{\text{H}^p(\mathbb{R})}$ . Then  $f(x) \in \text{H}^p(\mathbb{R})$  and  $f(x) \in e^{iax}B_g\overline{\text{H}^p(\mathbb{R})}$ . Since  $\text{supp} \hat{f} \subseteq [0, \infty)$ , we have  $\hat{f}(\omega) = \hat{f}(\omega)\chi_{[0,a]} + \hat{f}(\omega)\chi_{[a,\infty)} = \hat{f}_1(\omega) + \hat{f}_2(\omega)$ , where  $\chi_{[a,b]}$  is the characteristic function of  $[a, b]$ . By the inverse Fourier transform in the distributional sense,  $f$  can be written as  $f = f_1 + f_2$ , where  $f_1 \in \text{FH}^p[0, a]$  and  $f_2 \in \text{FH}^p[a, \infty)$ . Hence, we have  $f_1(x)e^{-iax} \in \overline{\text{H}^p(\mathbb{R})}$  and  $f_2(x)e^{-iax} \in \text{H}^p(\mathbb{R})$ . We note that

$$f_1(x)e^{-iax} \in \overline{\text{H}^p(\mathbb{R})} = B_g(x)\overline{B_g(x)\text{H}^p(\mathbb{R})} \subset B_g(x)\overline{\text{H}^p(\mathbb{R})},$$

and  $f(x)e^{-iax} \in B_g(x)\overline{\text{H}^p(\mathbb{R})}$ . Therefore,  $f_2(x)e^{-iax} = f(x)e^{-iax} - f_1(x)e^{-iax} \in B_g(x)\overline{\text{H}^p(\mathbb{R})}$ . Being combined with  $f_2(x)e^{-iax} \in \text{H}^p(\mathbb{R})$ , it yields that  $f_2(x)e^{-iax} \in \text{H}^p(\mathbb{R}) \cap B_g(x)\overline{\text{H}^p(\mathbb{R})}$ . By Lemma 2.5, it shows that  $f_2(x) \in e^{iax}\mathcal{R}_{E,m}$ .

Conversely, if  $f(x) \in \text{FH}^p[0, a] \oplus e^{iax}\mathcal{R}_{E,m}$ , then  $f(x) = f_1(x) + f_2(x)$ , where  $f_1(x) \in \text{FH}^p[0, a]$  and  $f_2(x) \in e^{iax}\mathcal{R}_{E,m}$ . By Lemma 2.5, we obtain that  $f_2(x) \in e^{iax}[\text{H}^p(\mathbb{R}) \cap B_g(x)\overline{\text{H}^p(\mathbb{R})}] \subset \text{H}^p(\mathbb{R}) \cap e^{iax}B_g\overline{\text{H}^p(\mathbb{R})}$ . Since  $f_1(x) \in \text{FH}^p[0, a]$ , we obtain that  $f_1(x)e^{-iax}B_g^{-1}(x) \in \overline{\text{H}^p(\mathbb{R})}$ . Hence,  $f_1(x) \in \text{H}^p(\mathbb{R}) \cap e^{iax}B_g\overline{\text{H}^p(\mathbb{R})}$  and so  $f(x) \in \text{H}^p(\mathbb{R}) \cap e^{iax}B_g\overline{\text{H}^p(\mathbb{R})}$ . The proof is completed.  $\square$

**Remark.** In Corollary 2.8, if the point  $0 \in \text{supp} \hat{g}$ , then  $a = 0$ .

334 **3. Solutions of the Bedrosian equation**

335 The study about the identity  $H(fg) = fHg$  has a long history. In 1963, E. Bedrosian found that: If  $f, g \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$  satisfy (i)  
 336  $\text{supp } \hat{f} \subseteq [0, \infty), \text{supp } \hat{g} \subseteq [0, \infty)$ ; or, (ii)  $\text{supp } \hat{f} \subseteq [-A, A], \text{supp } \hat{g} \subseteq \mathbb{R} \setminus [-A, A]$  for a positive number  $A$ , then  $H(fg) = fHg$ . This  
 337 result is known as the Bedrosian theorem and  $H(fg) = fHg$  is called the Bedrosian identity. After that, some simple and useful  
 338 sufficient conditions for the Bedrosian identity were given in the frequency domain in [5]. In 1986, some necessary and suf-  
 339 ficient conditions for the Bedrosian identity  $H(fg) = fHg$  were given for  $f, g \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$  [6]. Recently, as an advent of the EMD  
 340 (empirical mode decomposition) algorithm and the related transform in terms of the IMFs (intrinsic mode functions) as a  
 341 product of EMD for non-stationary signal processing [4], the study of the Bedrosian identity received much attention again.  
 342 Many new and interesting results in relation to the Bedrosian identity are reported in [17,8–11] and the references therein.  
 343 For example, in [8], a new necessary and sufficient condition for the Bedrosian identity is presented in the frequency domain  
 344 for  $f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}), g \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$ . In [17,9,11], the Bedrosian identity  $H(fg) = fHg$  original for functions  $f, g \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$  is extended to  
 345 functions  $f \in L^p_T(\mathbb{R}), g \in L^q_T(\mathbb{R})$  and  $fg \in L^r_T(\mathbb{R})$ , where  $1 \leq p, r, q \leq \infty$  such that  $r^{-1} = p^{-1} + q^{-1}$ . In relation to the shift invariant  
 346 subspaces characterizations of analytic signals given in Section 2, the necessary and sufficient conditions for the Bedrosian  
 347 identity appeared in the mentioned references are summarized and generalized as follows:

348 **Theorem 3.1.** *Let  $f \in L^p_T(\mathbb{R})$  and  $g \in L^q_T(\mathbb{R})$  be nonzero functions, where  $1 \leq p, r, q \leq \infty$  such that  $r^{-1} = p^{-1} + q^{-1}$ . Then the*  
 349 *following assertions are equivalent:*

- 350 (1)  $T(fg) = fTg$ .
- 351 (2)  $T(f_{-}g_{+}) = -if_{-}g_{+}$  and  $T(f_{+}g_{-}) = if_{+}g_{-}$ .
- 352 (3)  $f_{-}g_{+} \in H^r(\mathbb{R})$  and  $f_{+}g_{-} \in H^r(\mathbb{R})$ .
- 353 (4)  $f_{-}g_{+} \in FH^r(\mathbb{R}^+)$  and  $f_{+}g_{-} \in FH^r(\mathbb{R}^+)$ .
- 354 (5)  $\bar{f}_{-} \in H^p(\mathbb{R}) \cap I_{g_{+}} \overline{H^p(\mathbb{R}^+)}$  and  $f_{+} \in H^p(\mathbb{R}) \cap I_{g_{-}} \overline{H^p(\mathbb{R})}$  if  $g_{+}$  and  $g_{-}$  are nonzero functions.
- 355 (6)  $g_{+} \in \varphi_1 H^q(\mathbb{R}), \bar{g}_{-} \in \varphi'_1 H^q(\mathbb{R})$  and  $\frac{\varphi_{-}}{\varphi_{+}} I_{f_{-}} = \frac{\varphi_1}{\varphi_2} \frac{\varphi_{+}}{\varphi_{-}} I_{f_{+}} = \frac{\varphi'_1}{\varphi'_2}$ , where  $f_{+}$  and  $f_{-}$  are nonzero functions,  $\varphi_1$  and  $\varphi_2$  is a pair of co-  
 356 prime inner functions,  $\varphi'_1$  and  $\varphi'_2$  is also a pair of co-prime inner functions.

359 **Remark.** In Theorem 3.1, the equivalences between (1), (2), (3), (4) were first given for  $f, g \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$  in [6]. Recently, the equi-  
 360 valences between (1), (2), (3), (4) are generalized to  $f \in L^p_T(\mathbb{R}), g \in L^q_T(\mathbb{R})$  [17,9]. The equivalence between (1) and (5) is given  
 361 in [11]. The relation between (1) and (6) was first found in [10] for  $f, g \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$ . By Theorem 2.4, it is easy to verify that (1) is  
 362 equivalent to (6) for the general indices.

363 Different necessary and sufficient conditions have different merits. For instance, by (4) of Theorem 3.1, it is easy to re-  
 364 produce the classical sufficient condition for the Bedrosian identity, that is, if  $f, g \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$  satisfy  $\text{supp } \hat{f} \subseteq [-A, A]$ ,  
 365  $\text{supp } \hat{g} \subseteq \mathbb{R} \setminus [-A, A]$  for a positive number  $A$ , then  $H(fg) = fHg$ . Moreover, by using the Titchmarsh convolution theorem, it is  
 366 proved in [9] that if  $f$  is of low Fourier frequencies then it is necessary for  $g$  to have high Fourier frequencies to satisfy  
 367  $T(fg) = fTg$  for  $f \in L^p_T(\mathbb{R})$  and  $g \in L^q_T(\mathbb{R})$ . In this section, by (6) of Theorem 3.1, we re-produce the result by an alternative  
 368 method.

369 **Corollary 3.2.** *Let  $f \in FH^p[A, B]$  and  $g \in L^q_T(\mathbb{R})$  be nonzero functions. If the endpoints  $A, B \in \text{supp } \hat{f}$ , then  $T(fg) = fTg$  if and only if*  
 370  *$g_{+} \in e^{-i \min\{0, A\}x} H^q(\mathbb{R})$  and  $\bar{g}_{-} \in e^{i \max\{0, B\}x} H^q(\mathbb{R})$ .*

371 **Proof.** If  $f \in FH^p[A, B]$  with  $0 \leq A < B$  and the endpoints  $A, B \in \text{supp } \hat{f}$ , then  $f_{+} = f \in FH^p[A, B]$  with the point  $B \in \text{supp } \hat{f}_{+}$ , and  
 372  $f_{-} \equiv 0$ . By Theorem 3.1, it follows that  $T(fg) = fTg$  if and only if  $f_{+}g_{-} \in H^r(\mathbb{R})$ . By Corollary 2.6, we obtain that  
 373  $\bar{g}_{-} \in X^q_{f_{+}} = e^{iBx} H^q(\mathbb{R})$ . Hence,  $H(fg) = gHg$  if and only if  $g_{+} \in H^q(\mathbb{R})$  and  $\bar{g}_{-} \in e^{iBx} H^q(\mathbb{R})$ .

374 The cases that  $A < B \leq 0$  and  $A < 0 < B$  can be treated in the same way. The proof is completed.  $\square$

375 In the Bedrosian equation the roles of  $f$  and  $g$  are not symmetric. Apart from the conditions concerning analyticity, almost  
 376 all of the results concerning bandlimited functions impose the bandlimited condition to the function  $f$ . In contrast to this, by  
 377 virtue of Corollary 2.8 and Theorem 3.1, we now give a sound characterization for the solutions of the Bedrosian equation for  
 378  $g$  being bandlimited.  
 379

380 **Theorem 3.3.** *Suppose that  $g \in FH^q[A, B]$  and  $f \in L^p_T(\mathbb{R})$  are nonzero functions, where the point  $0 \in \text{supp } \hat{g}$ . Then the following*  
 381 *statements hold:*

- 382 (i) If  $0 = A < B$ , then  $T(fg) = fTg$  if and only if  
 383 
$$\bar{f}_{-} \in \overline{\text{span}}^p \left\{ \frac{1}{(x - \lambda)^j}, \lambda \in E_1, j = 1, \dots, m(\lambda) \right\};$$



(ii) If  $A < B = 0$ , then  $T(fg) = fTg$  if and only if

$$f_+ \in \overline{\text{span}}^p \left\{ \frac{1}{(x - \lambda)^j}, \lambda \in E_2, j = 1, \dots, m(\lambda) \right\};$$

(iii) If  $A < 0 < B$ , then  $T(fg) = fTg$  if and only if

$$f \in \overline{\text{span}}^p \left\{ \frac{1}{(x - \lambda)^j}, \lambda \in E_1 \cup E_2, j = 1, \dots, m(\lambda) \right\},$$

where  $E_1$  and  $E_2$  are respectively the sets of all different zeros of  $G_+(z) := (\partial^{-1}g_+)(z)$  in the upper half plane and  $G_-(z) := (\partial^{-1}g_-)(z)$  in the lower half plane, and  $m(\lambda)$  denotes the multiplicity at  $\lambda$ .

**Proof.** Let  $g \in \text{FH}^q[A, B]$  be a nonzero function with  $0 = A < B$ . Then  $g = g_+ \in \text{FH}^q[A, B]$  is a nonzero function with the point  $0 \in \text{supp } \widehat{g}_+$ , and  $g_- \equiv 0$ . By (5) of Theorem 3.1 and Corollary 2.8, we learn that  $T(fg) = fTg$  if and only if

$$\overline{f_-} \in \overline{\text{span}}^p \left\{ \frac{1}{(x - \bar{\lambda})^j}, \lambda \in E_1, j = 1, \dots, m(\lambda) \right\},$$

where  $E_1$  is the set of all different zeros of  $G_+(z) := (\partial^{-1}g_+)(z)$  in the upper half plane.

Similarly, we can treat the case that  $A < B = 0$  and  $A < 0 < B$ . The proof is finished.  $\square$

**Remark.** : Moreover, if  $g$  is a real function in Theorem 3.3, then  $g_+ = \overline{g_-}$ ,  $G_2(z) = \overline{G_1(\bar{z})}$  and  $E_2 = \overline{E_1} = \{\lambda | \bar{\lambda} \in E_1\}$ .

#### 4. An application in construction of bandlimited signals

Another question arising in physical practice is: Given a bandlimited function  $g \in \text{FH}^q[A, B]$ , find all the functions  $f \in L^p(\mathbb{R})$  such that  $fg \in \text{FH}^r[A, B]$ . For a nonzero function  $g \in \text{FH}^2[A, B]$ , by the Paley–Wiener theorem, it is easily known that  $fg \in \text{FH}^2[A, B]$  if and only if  $f$  is a quotient of two entire functions of the exponential type. But what is quotient of two entire functions of the exponential type? Can it be totally described by the zero information of the Laplace transform of  $g$ ? In this section, we will make use of some knowledge presented in Section 2 to answer these questions. We will characterize, in terms of the zeros of the Laplace transform of  $g \in \text{FH}^q[A, B]$ , the functions  $f \in L^p(\mathbb{R})$  that make  $fg$  have a bandlimit within that of  $g$ , viz.,  $fg \in \text{FH}^r[A, B]$ .

**Lemma 4.1.** Let  $g \in \text{FH}^q[A, B]$  and  $f \in L^p_H(\mathbb{R})$  be nonzero functions. Then  $fg \in \text{FH}^r[A, B]$  if and only if  $f_+g \in \text{FH}^r[A, B]$  and  $f_-g \in \text{FH}^r[A, B]$ .

**Proof.** Since  $f \in L^p_H(\mathbb{R})$ , we have

$$fg = (1/2)g(f_+ + f_-) = (1/2)(gf_+ + gf_-),$$

where  $f_+ \in H^p(\mathbb{R})$  and  $\overline{f_-} \in H^p(\mathbb{R})$ .

Let  $h_1(x) := e^{-iAx}g(x)$  and  $h_2(x) := e^{iBx}\overline{g(x)}$ . Then  $h_1 \in \text{FH}^q[0, B - A] \subset H^p(\mathbb{R})$  and  $h_2 \in \text{FH}^q[0, B - A] \subset H^p(\mathbb{R})$  from the facts  $\widehat{h_1}(\omega) = \widehat{g}(\omega + A)$  and  $\widehat{h_2}(\omega) = \widehat{g}(B - \omega)$ . Since  $f_+$  and  $\overline{f_-} \in H^p(\mathbb{R})$ , by Lemmas 2.1 and 2.2, we have  $f_+h_1, \overline{f_-}h_2 \in H^r(\mathbb{R})$  and  $\text{supp } \widehat{f_+h_1} \subset [0, \infty)$ ,  $\text{supp } \widehat{\overline{f_-}h_2} \subset [0, \infty)$ . Hence,  $\text{supp } \widehat{f_+g} \subset [A, \infty)$  and  $\text{supp } \widehat{\overline{f_-}g} \subset (-\infty, B]$  for  $(\widehat{f_+g})(\omega) = (\widehat{h_1g})(\omega - A)$  and  $(\widehat{\overline{f_-}g})(\omega) = (\widehat{h_2\overline{f_-}})(B - \omega)$ . This gives that  $fg \in \text{FH}^r[A, B]$  if and only if  $f_+g \in \text{FH}^r[A, B]$  and  $f_-g \in \text{FH}^r[A, B]$ . The proof is completed.  $\square$

Below we will give necessary and sufficient conditions for functions  $f$  that make  $fg$  have the same bandlimit as  $g$  does.

**Theorem 4.2.** Suppose that functions  $0 \neq g \in \text{FH}^q[A, B]$  and  $f \in L^p_H(\mathbb{R})$ . If the endpoints  $A, B \in \text{supp } \widehat{g}$ , then  $fg \in \text{FH}^r[A, B]$  if and only if

$$f \in \overline{\text{span}}^p \left\{ \frac{1}{(x - \lambda)^j}, \lambda \in E, j = 1, \dots, m(\lambda) \right\},$$

where  $E$  is the set of all different zeros of  $G(z) := (\partial^{-1}g)(z)$  given by (2.17) on  $\mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{R}$  and  $m(\lambda)$  denotes the order of  $\lambda$ .

**Proof.** Let  $g_1(x) := e^{iBx}\overline{g(x)}$  and  $g_2(x) := e^{-iAx}g(x)$ . Then we have  $g_1 \in \text{FH}^q[0, B - A] \subset H^p(\mathbb{R})$ ,  $g_2 \in \text{FH}^q[0, B - A] \subset H^p(\mathbb{R})$ , the point  $0 \in \text{supp } \widehat{g_1}$  and  $0 \in \text{supp } \widehat{g_2}$ . Therefore, by Lemma 4.1 and Corollary 2.8, we obtain that  $\overline{f_+}g_1 \in \text{FH}^r[0, B - A]$  and  $f_-g_2 \in \text{FH}^r[0, B - A]$  if and only if  $f_+ \in H^p(\mathbb{R}) \cap I_{g_1}H^p(\mathbb{R})$  and  $\overline{f_-} \in H^p(\mathbb{R}) \cap I_{g_2}H^p(\mathbb{R})$ , where  $I_{g_i}(x) := e^{ib_1}B_1(x)$  is the inner

function of  $g_1(x) := e^{ibx}\overline{g(x)}$ , and  $I_{g_2}(x) := e^{ib_2}B_2(x)$  is the inner function of  $g_2(x) := e^{-iAx}g(x)$ . By (2.17), we have  $G_2(z) = e^{ibz}\overline{G(\bar{z})}$  and  $G_1(z) = e^{iaz}G(z)$ . Thus the zeros of  $G_1(z)$  in the upper half plane are the conjugate of the zeros of  $G(z)$  in the lower half plane. By Lemma 2.5, we obtain that

$$f \in \overline{\text{span}}^p \left\{ \frac{1}{(x-\lambda)^j}, \lambda \in E, j = 1, \dots, m(\lambda) \right\}.$$

The proof is finished.  $\square$

Theorem 4.2 gives a characterization of the solutions  $f \in L^p(\mathbb{R}), 1 < p < \infty$ , in terms of backward shift invariant subspaces. It, however, does not cover the cases  $p = 1$  and  $p = \infty$  due to the failure of the projectional Hardy spaces decomposition. Below we will treat the two exceptional cases by using an alternative approach.

**Theorem 4.3.** Suppose that  $g \in \text{FH}^q[A, B]$  and  $f \in L^p(\mathbb{R})$  are nonzero functions,  $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ . Then  $fg \in \text{FH}^r[A, B]$  if and only if

$$f \in \overline{I_{g_1}}H^p(\mathbb{R}) \cap \overline{I_{g_2}}\overline{H^p(\mathbb{R})} = \overline{I_{g_1}} \left[ H^p(\mathbb{R}) \cap \overline{I_{g_1}I_{g_2}}\overline{H^p(\mathbb{R})} \right],$$

where  $I_{g_1}(x) := e^{i(a_1x+b_1)}B_1(x)$  is the inner function of  $g_1(x) := e^{-iAx}g(x)$  and  $I_{g_2}(x) := e^{i(a_2x+b_2)}B_2(x)$  is the inner function of  $g_2(x) := e^{ibx}\overline{g(x)}$ .

**Proof.** Suppose that  $g \in \text{FH}^q[A, B]$  and  $fg \in \text{FH}^r[A, B]$ . Let  $g_1(x) := e^{-iAx}g(x)$  and  $h_1(x) := f(x)g_1(x)$ . Then  $g_1 \in \text{FH}^q[0, B-A]$  and  $h_1 \in \text{FH}^r[0, B-A]$ . Hence, we have  $g_1 = O_{g_1}I_{g_1}$  and  $h_1 = O_{h_1}I_{h_1}$  by the decomposition theorem. From the facts that  $g \in L^p(\mathbb{R})$  and  $\ln|h_1| = \ln|fg_1| = \ln|f| + \ln|g_1|$ , we have  $O_f = \frac{O_{h_1}}{O_{g_1}} \in H^p(\mathbb{R})$ . Thus

$$f = \frac{h_1}{g_1} = \frac{O_{h_1}I_{h_1}}{O_{g_1}I_{g_1}} = \frac{O_f I_{h_1}}{I_{g_1}} \in \overline{I_{g_1}}H^p(\mathbb{R}).$$

For  $g_1 \in \text{FH}^q[0, B-A]$  and  $(\partial^{-1}g_1)(z) = e^{-iaz}G(z)$ , by Lemma 2.7, we have  $I_{g_1} = e^{i(a_1x+b_1)}B_1(x)$ . On the other hand, letting  $g_2(x) := e^{ibx}\overline{g(x)}$  and  $h_2(x) := \overline{f(x)}g_2(x)$ , there hold  $g_2 \in \text{FH}^q[0, B-A]$  and  $h_2 \in \text{FH}^r[0, B-A]$ . Since  $\ln|h_2| = \ln|h_1|$ , it follows that  $\ln|g_2| = \ln|g_1|$ . By the decomposition theorem, we also have

$$\overline{f} = \frac{h_2}{g_2} = \frac{O_{h_2}I_{h_2}}{O_{g_2}I_{g_2}} = \frac{O_{h_1}I_{h_2}}{O_{g_1}I_{g_2}} = \frac{O_f I_{h_2}}{I_{g_2}} \in \overline{I_{g_2}}H^p(\mathbb{R}).$$

For  $g_2 \in \text{FH}^q[0, B-A]$  and  $(\partial^{-1}g_2)(z) = e^{ibz}\overline{G(\bar{z})}$ , by Lemma 2.7, we have  $I_{g_2} = e^{i(a_2x+b_2)}B_2(x)$ . By combining with the above two facts, we have

$$f \in \overline{I_{g_1}}H^p(\mathbb{R}) \cap \overline{I_{g_2}}\overline{H^p(\mathbb{R})} = \overline{I_{g_1}} \left[ H^p(\mathbb{R}) \cap \overline{I_{g_1}I_{g_2}}\overline{H^p(\mathbb{R})} \right].$$

Conversely, if  $f \in \overline{I_{g_1}}H^p(\mathbb{R}) \cap \overline{I_{g_2}}\overline{H^p(\mathbb{R})}$ , then there exist  $f_1, f_2 \in H^p(\mathbb{R})$  such that  $f = \overline{I_{g_1}}f_1$  and  $\overline{f} = \overline{I_{g_2}}f_2$ . Let  $g_1(x) := e^{-iAx}g(x)$  and  $g_2(x) := e^{ibx}\overline{g(x)}$ . Since  $g_1, g_2 \in \text{FH}^q[0, B-A]$ , we have  $e^{-iAx}gf = O_{g_1}I_{g_1}\overline{I_{g_1}}f_1 = O_{g_1}f_1 \in H^r(\mathbb{R})$  and  $e^{ibx}\overline{g(x)}\overline{f(x)} = O_{g_2}I_{g_2}\overline{I_{g_2}}f_2 = O_{g_2}f_2 \in H^r(\mathbb{R})$ . By Lemmas 2.1 and 2.2, we obtain that  $\text{supp}\widehat{fg} \subseteq [A, B]$  and  $fg \in \text{FH}^r[A, B]$ . The proof is completed.  $\square$

**Corollary 4.4.** Suppose  $0 \neq g \in \text{FH}^q[A, B]$ . If  $G(z) := (\partial^{-1}g)(z)$  given by (2.17) has no zero points on  $\mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{R}$ , then there exists  $f \in L^p(\mathbb{R})$  such that  $fg \in \text{FH}^r[A, B]$  if and only if

$$f \in e^{i(A-a)x}H^p(\mathbb{R}) \cap e^{i(B-b)x}\overline{H^p(\mathbb{R})} = \text{FH}^p[A-a, B-b],$$

where  $[a, b] := \text{supp}\widehat{g}$ .

**Remark.** From Corollary 4.5, we know that if the end points  $A, B \in \text{supp}\widehat{g}$  and  $G(z) := (\partial^{-1}g)(z)$  given by (2.17) has no zero points on  $\mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{R}$ , then there exists no nonzero function  $f \in L^p(\mathbb{R}), 1 \leq p \leq \infty$ , such that  $fg \in \text{FH}^r[A, B]$ .

## References

- [1] E. Bedrosian, A product theorem for Hilbert transform, Proc. IEEE 51 (1963) 868–869.
- [2] T. Qian, Mono-components for decomposition of signals, Math. Methods Appl. Sci. 29 (2006) 1187–1198.
- [3] T. Qian, Y.B. Wang, Adaptive decomposition into basic signals of non-negative instantaneous frequencies-A variation and realization of greedy algorithm, Adv. Comput. Math. 34 (3) (2011) 279–293.
- [4] N. Huang, Z. Shen, S. Long, M. Wu, H. Shih, Q. Zheng, N.-C. Yen, C. Tung, H. Liu, The empirical mode decomposition and the Hilbert spectrum for nonlinear and non-stationary time series analysis, Proc. R. Soc., Ser. A 454 (1998) 903–995.
- [5] J. Brown, Analytic signals and product theorems for Hilbert transforms, IEEE Trans. Circuits Syst. 21 (6) (1974) 790–792.
- [6] J. Brown, A Hilbert transform product theorem, Proc. IEEE 74 (3) (1986) 520–521.
- [7] P. Cerejeiras, Q.H. Chen, U. Kaehler, Bedrosian identity in Blaschke product case, Complex Anal. Oper. Theory 6 (1) (2012) 275–300.

- 495 [8] Y. Xu, D. Yan, The Bedrosian identity for the Hilbert transform of product functions, Proc. Am. Soc. 134 (2006) 2719–2728.  
496 [9] L. Yang, H. Zhang, The Bedrosian identity for  $H_p$  functions, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 345 (2008) 975–984.  
497 [10] B. Yu, H. Zhang, The Bedrosian identity and homogeneous semi-convolution equations, J. Int. Equ. Appl. 20 (4) (2008) 527–568.  
498 [11] L.H. Tan, L.X. Shen, L.H. Yang, Rational orthogonal bases satisfying the Bedrosian Identity, Adv. Comput. Math. 33 (3) (2010) 285–303.  
499 [12] E.M. Hofstetter, Construction of time-limited functions with specified autocorrelation functions, IEEE Trans. Inf. Theory IT-10 51 (1963) 868–869.  
500 [13] P. Jaming, Phase retrieval techniques for radar ambiguity problems, J. Fourier Anal. Appl. 5 (1999) 309–329.  
501 [14] P. Dang, T. Qian, Analytic phase derivatives, all-pass filters and signals of minimum phase, IEEE Trans. Signal Process. 59 (10) (2011) 4708–4718.  
502 [15] S. Koizumi, On the Hilbert transform I, Faculty Sci. Hokkaido Univ 14 (1959) 153–224.  
503 [16] E.M. Stein, G. Weiss, Introduction to Fourier Analysis on Euclidean Spaces, Princeton University Press, Princeton, N.J., 1971.  
504 [17] T. Qian, Y. Xu, D. Yan, L. Yan, B. Yu, Fourier spectrum characterization of Hardy spaces and applications, Proc. Am. Math. Soc. 137 (3) (2009) 971–980.  
505 [18] T. Qian, Characterization of boundary values of functions in Hardy spaces with applications in signal analysis, J. Int. Equ. Appl. 17 (2) (2005) 159–198.  
506 [19] J. Garnett, Bounded Analytic Functions, Academic Press, New York, 1981.  
507 [20] P.D. Lax, Translation invariant spaces, Acta Math. 101 (1959) 163–178.  
508 [21] J. Cima, W. Ross, The Backward Shift on the Hardy Space, American Mathematical Society, Providence, 2000.  
509 [22] K.M. Dyakonov, Moduli and arguments of analytic functions from subspaces of  $H_p$  that are invariant for the backward shift operator, Sib. Math. J. 31 (6)  
510 (1990) 926–939.  
511 [23] K.M. Dyakonov, Differentiation in star-invariant subspaces I. Boundedness and compactness, J. Funct. Anal. 192 (2) (2002) 364–386.  
512 [24] L. Baratchart, F.L. Nazarov, V.V. Peller, Analytic approximation of matrix functions in  $L_p$ , J. Approx. Theory 158 (2) (2009) 242–278.  
513 [25] A.H. Zemanian, Distribution Theory and Transform Analysis: An Introduction to Generalized Functions, with Applications, McGraw-Hill, New York,  
514 1965.

UNCORRECTED PROOF